

The Deified Collective: Émile Durkheim, Totemism, and the Structural Evolution of the Sacred

The sociological study of religion underwent a paradigm shift in 1912 with the publication of Émile Durkheim's *The Elementary Forms of the Religious Life*. In this magisterial work, Durkheim moved beyond the psychological and animistic explanations of his predecessors, such as Edward Tylor and Herbert Spencer, to argue that religion is an eminently social phenomenon.¹ His central thesis—that religion is essentially society worshipping itself—remains one of the most provocative and influential ideas in the history of social science.⁴ By examining the most "primitive" known religious system, Australian totemism, Durkheim sought to uncover the "ever-present causes" upon which the religious nature of humanity depends.² He contended that the sacred is not a supernatural illusion but a symbolic representation of the collective consciousness, the moral force of the group transfigured into a divine entity.¹ This report provides an exhaustive analysis of Durkheim's theory, tracing its origins in ethnographic study, its structural mechanisms such as collective effervescence, and its enduring relevance in the "modern gods" of the contemporary world, from the cult of the individual to the totemic power of global brands.

The Epistemological Turn: Social Origins of Knowledge

Durkheim's project was not merely a study of tribal rituals but a profound inquiry into the nature of human reason. He situated his work within the long-standing philosophical tension between empiricism and apriorism.⁶ Empiricists argued that categories of thought—such as time, space, causality, and number—are derived from individual experience and sensation, while apriorists maintained that these categories are inherent to the human intellect, existing logically prior to experience.⁶ Durkheim proposed a third alternative: these fundamental categories of understanding are social in origin.⁶

According to this sociological epistemology, society is the only being that sees from above and sees farther, embracing all known reality at every moment of time.¹ Therefore, society alone can furnish the mind with the "moulds" applicable to the totality of things.¹ Time is not merely a felt duration but a collective rhythm; the concept of a "week" or "year" corresponds to social intervals and festivals.⁶ Similarly, space is organized according to the social arrangement of the clan, and the concept of "class" or "category" is a reflection of social divisions.⁶ This suggests that $C = f(S)$, where C represents the cognitive categories of a group and S represents its social structure. Durkheim's "social realism" posits that because man is a dual being—foundationally organic but intellectually social—the higher forms of psychic life are

necessarily collective representations.⁸

Defining the Sacred: The Dichotomy of Human Experience

To analyze religion scientifically, Durkheim first had to define it in a way that avoided the pitfalls of "supernaturalism" or "divinity," which he felt were too narrow to encompass all religious systems.² He argued that the essence of religion is the distinction between the sacred and the profane.¹ This is not a distinction between "good" and "evil," but a radical ontological gap between two worlds that can never touch with impunity.⁸ Sacred things are those "set apart and forbidden," protected by taboos and negative rites, while the profane realm consists of the mundane, utilitarian activities of daily survival.¹

Element	Definition	Sociological Significance
Sacred	Things, persons, or symbols set apart from the mundane. ¹	Represents the authority and power of the collective. ¹³
Profane	Ordinary, routine, and practical aspects of life. ²	Facilitates individual economic and physical maintenance. ¹⁶
Beliefs	Representations expressing the nature of sacred things. ¹	Codifies the collective consciousness into shared narratives. ¹
Rites	Rules of conduct in the presence of sacred things. ¹¹	Re-enacts and reinforces the bond between individual and group. ¹³
Church	A single moral community of adherents. ¹	The social body that makes religious belief a "collective thing". ¹⁸

Religion is thus defined as a "unified system of beliefs and practices relative to sacred things... which unite into one single moral community called a Church, all those who adhere to them".¹ By emphasizing the "Church" or the collective body, Durkheim distinguished religion from magic, which he viewed as a purely individualistic pursuit without a shared moral community.²

Totemism as the Elementary Form

Durkheim turned to the ethnographic data of central Australian tribes, specifically the Arunta (Aranda), believing that in their "primitive" totemism, he could find the root of the religious impulse.² In these societies, the clan is organized not by blood or territory alone, but by a shared totem—usually an animal or plant—that serves as their collective name and emblem.⁸ The totem is the most sacred of all things; it is carved onto objects like the *churinga* (bullroarer) and tattooed onto the skin of clan members.¹³

The fundamental discovery of *The Elementary Forms* is that the totem represents two things simultaneously: the "god" or totemic principle, and the clan itself.¹ Durkheim asked, "So if [the totem animal] is at once the symbol of the god and of the society, is that not because the god and the society are only one?"¹ The "god" of the clan is nothing other than the clan itself, transfigured and imagined in the form of the totem animal.⁴ This is not a "false" religion but a symbolic expression of a very real power: the moral and physical power of the group over the individual.⁴

The Mechanism of Representation

The choice of a totem is arbitrary, but its function is essential. For a group to become conscious of itself, it must project its collective identity onto a tangible object.¹ The totem acts as a "flag" that makes the abstract concept of the clan visible and permanent.¹ Individuals feel an extraordinary force within them when they are part of the group, a force that "sustains them and raises them above themselves".²⁶ They perceive this force as coming from outside, from a supernatural source, when it is in fact the "mana" of the collective body.¹⁴

This representational system creates a hierarchy of the sacred. At the top is the totem itself; next are the animals or plants of that species; finally, the members of the clan themselves are sacred by virtue of their association with the totem.⁸ This hierarchy ensures that the individual remains subservient to the group, for the individual is only a contingent part of an eternal, "sui generis" reality.⁷

Collective Effervescence: The Birth of the Divine

A central question arises: how does a mundane animal like a kangaroo or a plant like a witchetty grub become imbued with sacred power? Durkheim identifies the mechanism of "collective effervescence".¹³ Social life in totemic societies oscillates between two phases: the "slack" phase of individual, economic, and profane activity, and the "effervescent" phase of communal gathering.¹⁶

When the clan assembles for a religious ceremony, the sheer proximity of individuals creates a "sort of electricity" or emotional exaltation.¹³ Participants engage in rhythmic dancing, chanting,

and shouting, reaching a state of "collective trance" or frenzy.⁴ In this heightened state, the individual feels transformed; they are no longer an ordinary man but a member of a higher, sacred reality.¹³ This intense emotion is projected onto the totem, which happens to be the focal point of the gathering.⁴ The totem then becomes the permanent repository of this shared energy, retaining its sacredness even after the individuals return to their profane, everyday lives.¹³

The Primacy of Ritual

Durkheim argues that ritual is prior to belief.¹⁶ It is the physical movements and shared physical experience of the ceremony that generate the collective representations (beliefs), not the other way around.¹⁶ Ritual acts "to excite, maintain or recreate certain mental states" in the group.²⁰ This performative aspect of religion ensures that society is "perpetually creating itself" through communal action.²² Without these periodic reunions, the "moral temperature" of the community would drop, and the social bond would dissolve.²⁶

Type of Ritual	Description	Social Function
Negative Rites	Taboos and prohibitions (e.g., not eating the totem). ⁸	Maintains the boundary between sacred and profane. ¹³
Positive Rites	Feasts, sacrifices, and communions. ⁴	Revitalizes the collective spirit and reinforces unity. ¹⁹
Piacular Rites	Rituals of mourning and atonement. ⁷	Reintegrates the group after a loss or crisis. ²⁹
Mimetic Rites	Imitations of the totem's movements. ²³	Strengthens the identification between the clan and its symbol. ²³

The Theory of the Soul and the Double

Durkheim's analysis extends to the human soul, which he also explains as a social fact.⁸ He rejects the animistic theory that the idea of the soul arose from dreams or hallucinations.³ Instead, he posits that the soul is "the collective soul incarnated in individuals".¹¹ Each individual contains two beings: an organic, individual self and a social, moral self that represents the highest reality.⁸

The belief in the immortality of the soul is a symbolic way for society to represent its own

continuity.⁶ Individuals die, and generations pass, but the clan (symbolized by the totem and the soul) remains "always present, alive and true to itself".⁷ By believing their souls return to the totemic ancestors, the Arunta express the profound truth that the group survives the death of its parts.⁶ This "socialization of the individual" through the idea of the soul is what allows man to transcend his animal nature and act for the collective good.²⁶

The Modern Transition: From Mechanical to Organic Solidarity

To understand why Durkheim's theory remains relevant, one must look at his earlier work on the transition from traditional to modern society.³³ In "primitive" societies, social cohesion is based on "mechanical solidarity," where individuals are largely similar and the collective conscience is strong and all-encompassing.³⁴ Religion in these societies is the primary source of all social functions, from law to science.²¹

In modern, industrialized societies, "organic solidarity" prevails.¹⁷ This is based on the division of labor and mutual interdependence between specialized roles.¹⁷ As individuals become more specialized, the "collective conscience" weakens, and traditional religious authority fades.²⁶ Durkheim was deeply concerned with the risks of this transition, particularly "anomie"—a state of normlessness where individuals feel disconnected from a shared moral purpose.³⁵

The Persistence of the Sacred

Despite secularization, Durkheim argued that "there is no society that does not have religion".⁵ While the old gods of Christianity and Judaism might be losing their power in the West, the functional need for the sacred remains.²⁶ Society will always feel the need to reaffirm its unity through symbols and gatherings.²¹ Modernity does not lead to the end of religion, but to the creation of "new gods" and "new formulae" that serve as a guide to humanity.⁴

Modern God I: The Cult of the Individual

As the traditional collective conscience fragmented under the division of labor, Durkheim observed the rise of a new religion: the "cult of the individual" or the "cult of man".³² In this system, the individual human person becomes the new sacred object.³² This is not a form of selfish narcissism, but a collective devotion to the rights, dignity, and autonomy of the person.³⁸

The cult of the individual is the "moral counterpart" to organic solidarity.³² It is the only thing modern, diverse individuals have in common: their shared humanity.³² This "backstage religion" provides the moral foundation for democratic societies, establishing the sanctity of the human life as an absolute that must be protected by law and ritual.³⁸

Rituals of the Sacred Self

The rituals of this modern cult are often private and internal, focusing on the development and "purity" of the self.⁴⁰ However, it also has a public, performative dimension.³⁸ Democratic marches, human rights campaigns, and even modern legal procedures act as rites that reaffirm the sacred status of the individual.³⁸ Durkheim believed that this cult was the only way modern society could maintain moral unity without regressing to the forced homogeneity of mechanical solidarity.³²

Feature	Traditional Totemism	Cult of the Individual
Object of Worship	Totem Animal/Plant (Clan). ¹	The Rational Individual Person. ³²
Solidarity Type	Mechanical (Similarity). ³⁴	Organic (Interdependence). ¹⁷
Ritual Focus	Communal Frenzy/Effervescence. ¹³	Private Development/Sacred Rights. ³⁸
Moral Code	Penal Law (Group Preservation). ³³	Restitutory Law (Individual Autonomy). ³³

Modern God II: Civil Religion and Nationalism

Alongside the cult of the individual, the nation-state has emerged as a powerful modern deity.²⁴ Scholars often use the term "civil religion" to describe the set of beliefs, symbols, and rituals that unite a people under the same laws and provide a sense of transcendence and purpose.⁴¹ Civil religion is distinct from sectarian religion; it allows people to unite around slogans like "God Bless America" without necessarily practicing the same faith.¹⁹

Durkheim noted that the national flag is the modern equivalent of the totemic emblem.²⁵ Flags are the symbols of the "most widely respected collective units" (nation-states) and serve to trigger the same feelings of awe and loyalty as the clan totem.²⁵ National holidays, patriotic songs, and state myths act as rituals that generate "collective effervescence," making individuals feel they are part of a historic and eternal entity.²⁸

The State as a Transcendent Reality

The nation-state demands sacrifices—at times the ultimate sacrifice of life—for the "common good".⁴¹ This indicates that the state has been sacralized; it is no longer just a bureaucratic

organization but a moral power that "venerates itself" through the people.⁴¹ The "Raising the Flag" movement demonstrates how these symbols can maintain social cohesion but also act as markers of exclusion and "normative" identity.⁴³ When individuals treat the flag as a "sacred" object, they are participating in a modern form of totemism that reinforces the national collective conscience.²⁸

Contemporary Totems: The Brand and the Mascot

The logic of totemism has also permeated the secular realms of consumerism and sports.³¹ In a world of mass production and globalized anonymity, brands have become "totemic relics of deity" around which new urban tribes gather.⁴⁴

Brand Tribes and Logos

In many cultures, particularly in East Asian markets, brands now behave like central symbols of worship.⁴⁴ A logo is not just a commercial mark; it is a "physical epiphany" of a desired set of values and status.⁴⁴ "Brand People" form tribes around these symbols, using them to create a sense of membership and to distinguish themselves from others.⁴⁴ The equation for this

modern dynamic is *Totem : Brand = Tribes : BrandFollowers*.⁴⁴ This is a "micro-subjective nomadism" where youth regroup around commercial shrines to find identity in a world of disrupted traditional values.⁴⁴

Sports as a Ritual Space

Sports teams and their mascots serve a clearly totemic function.³¹ Fans identify passionately with their team's symbol, treat it as "sacred," and gather for ritualistic game-watching that provides an "electric atmosphere" of shared emotion.¹³ The shared loyalty to a mascot creates instant bonds between strangers, functioning as the "social glue" that holds disparate individuals together in a common identity.³¹ Stadiums act as modern temples where "collective effervescence" is regularly generated, reinforcing the fan's commitment to the "higher" reality of the team.¹³

Digital Totemism and the Digital Self

Technology has further evolved the forms of totemism. "Digital identity totems" have emerged on platforms like Facebook and social media, where profile pictures and avatars function as visible markers of the self.⁴⁵ These digital icons allow individuals to project an "amplified self" to others, participating in online rituals and communities that provide a psychological sense of belonging and security.³¹ Sharing memes and viral content acts as a digital ritual that maintains social cohesion and signals membership in specific sub-cultural groups.³¹

Academic Critiques and Theoretical Debates

Durkheim's work, while foundational, has been subject to rigorous critique from various angles.²

The Anthropological Challenge: Evans-Pritchard and Lukes

Anthropologists like E.E. Evans-Pritchard have criticized Durkheim's study of the Arunta as being based on limited and misinterpreted ethnographic sources.² Evans-Pritchard argued that the absolute separation between the sacred and the profane is not observable in the field; in most societies, these categories are porous and overlapping.¹⁹ He famously quipped that "Durkheim and not the savage... made society into a god," suggesting that Durkheim's theory was an imposition onto tribal life rather than a discovery of its inherent nature.²⁷

Steven Lukes has pointed out that Durkheim's definition of religion as a unified phenomenon is a risky assumption that may not capture the diversity of religious experiences.²⁷ Furthermore, Durkheim's "social realism"—his treatment of society as a "thing" with its own reality—is often seen as an overstatement that severs social life from its economic and individual moorings.³

The Shamanism Argument

Other scholars, such as David Lewis-Williams, have argued that shamanism, rather than totemism, represents the earliest form of religion.²⁰ Shamanism is rooted in individual altered states of consciousness and individual psychological experiences, which Durkheim's "collective sentiment" theory largely dismisses.²⁰ If religious sentiment originates in the individual brain rather than the collective body, Durkheim's sociological reductionism would be fundamentally flawed.²⁰

The Logical Circularity

A common logical critique is that Durkheim's argument is circular (*Petitio Principii*).⁴⁸ He adduces evidence that religion is the source of social forms (morals, law, science), but then argues that social conditions determine the character of religion.⁴⁸ This suggests that he assumes society to be the cause of religion in order to prove that religion is a social phenomenon.⁴⁸ However, defenders of Durkheim argue that this circularity is an accurate reflection of a reciprocal system where society and religion are mutually constitutive.¹

Conflict and Dysfunction: Beyond Solidarity

A major criticism of the functionalist approach is its tendency to overlook the "dysfunctions" of religion.⁵ Durkheim focused on how religion creates cohesion, but critics point out that religion is also a primary cause of conflict, violence, and war.⁵ While the "collective consciousness" can bind a group together, it often does so at the expense of outsiders, against whom violence is

routinely justified.⁴⁹

Conflict theorists, such as those in the Marxist tradition, argue that religion is not just "society worshipping itself," but a tool used by the ruling class to control the working class and maintain the status quo.²⁹ Durkheim's model of the "Church" as a unified moral community may ignore the internal power struggles and inequalities that characterize most religious organizations.⁵⁰

Synthesis: The Eternal Nature of the Sacred

Despite these critiques, the Durkheimian framework provides an essential lens for understanding the persistence of the sacred in a secular world.¹⁵ His work reveals that "there is something eternal in religion which is destined to survive all the particular symbols".²⁶ Society requires a "minimum of logical conformity" and shared moral frameworks to exist; without these categories of understanding, social life would be destroyed.⁶

Religion, in Durkheim's view, is not a "lie" or an "hallucination," but a translation of human dependency on the group into a symbolic language.⁵ By worshipping the totem, the flag, or the individual, humans are recognizing their Utmost Reality: the social forces that both constrain and sustain them.⁴

Conclusion: The Future of Modern Gods

The evolution of modern deities—from the Arunta's kangaroo totem to the nationalistic flag and the sacred "self"—confirms Durkheim's insight that society is the ultimate source of the religious impulse.¹ As societies become more complex and globalized, they will continue to experience hours of "creative effervescence" in which new symbols and "formulae" are born.²⁶ Whether it is the rituals of a sports stadium, the collective outrage of a digital protest, or the sacred commitment to human rights, the "moral remaking" of society remains a perpetual process.²⁶

Durkheim's *Elementary Forms of the Religious Life* provides the enduring tools to analyze these phenomena. It teaches us that to understand God, we must understand the social body; and to understand the social body, we must understand the rituals that keep it alive.¹⁶ In the modern world, the "gods" have changed their faces, but the collective power they represent remains the most formidable force in human existence.⁴ The sacred/profane distinction continues to structure our social life, reminding us that we are part of a "bigger picture"—a reality that is always greater than the sum of its parts.⁵

Works cited

1. The Elementary Forms of the Religious Life - Wikipedia, accessed on February 18, 2026, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/The_Elementary_Forms_of_the_Religious_Life
2. The Elementary Forms of the Religious Life by Émile Durkheim | History | Research

Starters, accessed on February 18, 2026,

<https://www.ebsco.com/research-starters/history/elementary-forms-religious-life-emile-durkheim>

3. Emile Durkheim's View on the Truth of Religion as an Expression of Social Reality: A sociological Analysis - Journal of Raparin University, accessed on February 18, 2026, https://ojournal.raparinuni2024.org/No_15/english/15.pdf
4. Durkheim's Theory of Religion: A Sociological Perspective on the Sacred and Profane, accessed on February 18, 2026, <https://triumphias.com/blog/durkheims-theory-of-religion/>
5. 14.3A: Functions of Religion - Social Sci LibreTexts, accessed on February 18, 2026, [https://socialsci.libretexts.org/Bookshelves/Sociology/Introduction_to_Sociology/Sociology_\(Boundless\)/14%3A_Religion/14.03%3A_The_Functionalist_Perspective_on_Religion/14.3A%3A_Functions_of_Religion](https://socialsci.libretexts.org/Bookshelves/Sociology/Introduction_to_Sociology/Sociology_(Boundless)/14%3A_Religion/14.03%3A_The_Functionalist_Perspective_on_Religion/14.3A%3A_Functions_of_Religion)
6. The Elementary Forms of the Religious Life (1912) - Emile Durkheim, accessed on February 18, 2026, <https://durkheim.uchicago.edu/Summaries/forms.html>
7. Durkheim's Sociology of Religion, accessed on February 18, 2026, <https://dspmuranchi.ac.in/pdf/Blog/ch%204%20durkheim.pdf>
8. BOOK REVIEW: Émile Durkheim's The Elementary Forms of the Religious Life, accessed on February 18, 2026, <https://cindyanguyen.com/2016/02/16/book-review-emile-durkheims-the-elementary-forms-of-the-religious-life/>
9. Educational Knowledge and the Distinction Between the Sacred and the Profane: From Durkheim to Bernstein, accessed on February 18, 2026, <https://www.society.shu.edu.cn/EN/abstract/abstract19625.shtml>
10. Durkheim, Emile | Internet Encyclopedia of Philosophy, accessed on February 18, 2026, <https://iep.utm.edu/emile-durkheim/>
11. The Elementary Forms of Religious Life | Summary & Analysis - Study.com, accessed on February 18, 2026, <https://study.com/academy/lesson/the-elementary-forms-of-religious-life-summary.html>
12. Religion between the Sacred and the Secular in Contemporary Society: Durkheim's Analysis as a Model, accessed on February 18, 2026, https://aldaleel-journal.com/article_230390_en.html
13. Totemism as the Foundation of Religion in Durkheim's Theory ..., accessed on February 18, 2026, <https://sociology.institute/sociology-of-religion/durkheim-theory-totemism-religion-foundation/>
14. 14.3: The Functionalist Perspective on Religion - Social Sci LibreTexts, accessed on February 18, 2026, https://socialsci.libretexts.org/Courses/Solano_Community_College/SOC_002%3A_A_Social_Issues_and_Problems/14%3A_Religion/14.03%3A_The_Functionalist_Perspective_on_Religion
15. An Analysis of Emile Durkheim's Theory of the Sacred and the Profane in the Context of the Sociology of Religion in Modern Indonesian Society, accessed on

- February 18, 2026,
https://www.pharosjot.com/uploads/7/1/6/3/7163688/article_20_107_1_2026_january_issue.pdf
16. Durkheim and ritual - Cambridge Core - Journals & Books Online, accessed on February 18, 2026,
https://resolve.cambridge.org/core/services/aop-cambridge-core/content/view/14DF0CCD79F94673CB6FFCFAF2465DDB/9781139001137c08_p183-210_CBO.pdf/durkheim-and-ritual.pdf
 17. Durkheim's Organic Solidarity - Simply Psychology, accessed on February 18, 2026, <https://www.simplypsychology.org/organic-solidarity.html>
 18. Durkheim- The Elementary Forms of Religious Life, accessed on February 18, 2026,
https://yale.imodules.com/s/1667/images/gid6/editor_documents/flick_readings/flick_sup_readings/durkheim-the_elementary_forms_of_religious_life.pdf
 19. Functionalist Views on the Role of Religion | Reference Library | Sociology - Tutor2u, accessed on February 18, 2026,
<https://www.tutor2u.net/sociology/reference/sociology-functionalist-views-on-the-role-of-religion>
 20. Durkheim's totemic principle, shamanism and Southern African San religions | Northover, accessed on February 18, 2026,
<https://hts.org.za/index.php/hts/article/view/6709/18769>
 21. Religion Functionalist Perspectives Chris.Livesey - Sociology Central, accessed on February 18, 2026, <http://www.sociology.org.uk/notes/frel.pdf>
 22. Review – Australia : Totemism. - OpenEdition Journals, accessed on February 18, 2026, <https://journals.openedition.org/assr/24456?lang=en>
 23. Durkheim's Religion: Sacred, Profane & Totem | UPSC Mains ANTHROPOLOGY-PAPER-I 2015 - Dalvoy, accessed on February 18, 2026,
<https://www.dalvoy.com/en/upsc/mains/previous-years/2015/anthropology-paper-i/durkheims-religion>
 24. DURKHEIM'S POLITICAL SOCIOLOGY. CIVIL RELIGION ... - Brill, accessed on February 18, 2026,
https://brill.com/downloadpdf/book/edcoll/9789047440635/Bej.9789004178281.i-310_004.pdf
 25. The Soldier Body and the Flag Body - Library of Social Science, accessed on February 18, 2026,
<https://www.libraryofsocialscience.com/newsletter/posts/2015/2015-09-01-monet3.html>
 26. Durkheim on Religion - faculty.rsu.edu, accessed on February 18, 2026,
<https://faculty.rsu.edu/~felwell/Theorists/Essays/Durkheim2.htm>
 27. Is Durkheim's understanding of religion compatible ... - Steven Lukes, accessed on February 18, 2026,
<https://stevenlukes.net/wp-content/uploads/2012/05/is-durkheims-understanding-of-religion-compatible.pdf>
 28. Exploring the Sacred and Profane in Durkheimian Sociology, accessed on February 18, 2026,

- <https://sociology.institute/sociology-of-religion/durkheim-sacred-profane-sociology/>
29. Durkheim's Functionalist View on Religion | PDF - Scribd, accessed on February 18, 2026,
<https://www.scribd.com/document/719753695/Functionalist-s-Perspective-on-Religion>
 30. What is a rite? Émile Durkheim, a hundred years later¹ - ARPI, accessed on February 18, 2026,
<https://arpi.unipi.it/bitstream/11568/947356/1/2018%20What%20is%20a%20rite%20OPIS%20latest%20version.pdf>
 31. Totemism: Durkheim's Insights into Primitive Religious Forms ..., accessed on February 18, 2026,
<https://sociology.institute/introduction-to-sociology/durkheim-totemism-primitive-religious-insights/>
 32. socialtheory2008 / Cult-of-the-Individual - PBworks, accessed on February 18, 2026,
<http://socialtheory2008.pbworks.com/w/page/17140701/Cult-of-the-Individual>
 33. SOCY 151 - Lecture 22 - Durkheim and Types of Social Solidarity | Open Yale Courses, accessed on February 18, 2026,
<https://oyc.yale.edu/sociology/socy-151/lecture-22>
 34. Durkheim's Mechanical and Organic Solidarity | Sociology | Research Starters - EBSCO, accessed on February 18, 2026,
<https://www.ebsco.com/research-starters/sociology/durkheims-mechanical-and-organic-solidarity>
 35. Emile Durkheim's Theory - Simply Psychology, accessed on February 18, 2026,
<https://www.simplypsychology.org/emile-durkheims-theories.html>
 36. THE ELEMENTARY FORMS OF RELIGIOUS LIFE: DISCURSIVE MONUMENT, SYMBOLIC FEAST - Publishing at the Library, accessed on February 18, 2026,
<https://journals.library.ualberta.ca/cjs/index.php/CJS/article/download/22189/17856/59398>
 37. Durkheim's Mechanical and Organic Solidarity - Sociology, accessed on February 18, 2026,
<https://simplysociology.com/durkheim-mechanical-and-organic-solidarity.html>
 38. Modern Democracy as the Cult of the Individual: Durkheim on religious coexistence and conflict - ResearchGate, accessed on February 18, 2026,
https://www.researchgate.net/publication/330542910_Modern_Democracy_as_the_Cult_of_the_Individual_Durkheim_on_religious_coexistence_and_conflict
 39. Postmodern Spirituality and the Culture of Individualism - Journal.fi, accessed on February 18, 2026, <https://journal.fi/scripta/article/view/67348/27645>
 40. (PDF) "The Cult of Man": Durkheim's Predictions And New Religious ..., accessed on February 18, 2026,
https://www.researchgate.net/publication/267362442_The_Cult_of_Man_Durkheim's_Predictions_And_New_Religious_Movements
 41. Civil Religion | The First Amendment Encyclopedia - Free Speech Center, accessed on February 18, 2026,

- <https://firstamendment.mtsu.edu/article/civil-religion/>
42. View of American civil religion : revisiting a concept after 50 years, accessed on February 18, 2026,
<https://americanaejournal.hu/index.php/americanaejournal/article/view/45095/43746>
 43. Teaching Sociology in a Changing World: Flags, Identity, and the “Raising the Flag” Movement, accessed on February 18, 2026,
<https://thesociologyguy.com/2025/09/03/teaching-sociology-in-a-changing-world-flags-identity-and-the-raising-the-flag-movement/>
 44. MODERN TOTEMS AND TRIBALISM: THE WORSHIPING OF ..., accessed on February 18, 2026, <https://eujournal.org/index.php/esj/article/view/5633/5459>
 45. The Influence of Totems in the Modern World - ColaPapers, accessed on February 18, 2026,
<https://www.colapapers.com/uk/blog/the-influence-of-totems-in-the-modern-world/>
 46. Durkheim: The Elementary Forms of Religious Life - Comments - Bytrentsacred, accessed on February 18, 2026,
<https://www.bytrentsacred.co.uk/index.php/durkheim-on-religion/elementary-forms-comments>
 47. Anthropology of the Profane - MDPI, accessed on February 18, 2026,
<https://www.mdpi.com/2077-1444/16/2/227>
 48. Petitio Principii, Circular Argument, Begging the Question, accessed on February 18, 2026, <https://philosophy.lander.edu/logic/circular.html>
 49. Prophetic Contrasts: How Durkheim and Girard Affirm the Religious Gift of Peace - MDPI, accessed on February 18, 2026,
<https://www.mdpi.com/2077-1444/15/12/1545>
 50. The Functionalist Perspective on Religion: Summary Revision Notes ..., accessed on February 18, 2026,
<https://revisesociology.com/2018/07/13/functionalist-perspective-religion-revision-notes/>